UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

DOUG	LAS ALBERT	
	Plaintiff	CIVIL ACTION
	V.	CIVIL ACTION
CITY	OF CHICOPEE, et al.,	NO. <u>05-30008-MAP</u>
OIIIC	Defendants	
		RT RE: REFERENCE FOR TIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
	Т	O JUDGE Ponsor
[X]	The above entitled case was report prior to ADR.	ed settled in principle after referral to the ADR Program, but
[]	On	_ I held the following ADR proceeding:
	SCREENING CONFERENCE	EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION
	MEDIATION	SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL
	MINI-TRIAL	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
[]	All parties were represented by counsel [except]	
[]		, by telephone or by authorized corporate officer or
	representative	
rv1	The case was:	innel han been entered
[X]	Settled. A <u>30</u> day order of dism	ilssai nas been entered.
[]	There was progress.	this time are in my judgment unlikely to be productive. This
[]	Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This	
	case should be restored to your trial list. Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:	
[]	Suggested strategy to racilitate sett	ierrierit.
[]	Other:	
	er 5, 2006	/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman
DATE		ADR Provider

KENNETH P. NEIMAN, U.S. Magistrate Judge